Schedule s.48 FCA

# FEDERAL COURT

**BETWEEN:** 

A. John Bellosillo

and

FEDERAL COURT COUR FÉDÉRALE DEP 0 VANESSA GEORGE TORONTO, ON

Plaintiff,

His Majesty the King

Defendant.

#### STATEMENT OF CLAIM<sup>1</sup>

- The Plaintiff is a Canadian Citizen trusted to, "the safe and humane custody and supervision" of the Defendant, His Majesty the King ("HMK"), by his Correctional Service of Canada (the "CSC"), a Creature of Statute existing in and by S.C. 1992 c.20 and as am., a.k.a. Corrections and Conditional Release Act (the "CCRA"), part I pursuant to s.5 thereof.
- 2. He is the owner of a personal computer purchased bona fides under the CCRA, Part I pursuant to ss. 3, 4(d), 5(b) 58, 65(1) and 76 thereof. And in his possession pursuant to Agreement existing between the Defendant and the Plaintiff in consideration bona fides for the express terms thereof.
- The express terms of the Agreement permitted the Defendant by his CSC to seize the plaintiff's computer system at any time for the purpose of searching it, to require the Plaintiff to correct any deficiencies, if any, or, if any, to require the Plaintiff to remove any unauthorized hardware or software, and to the return

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal Court Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. F-7 and as am., Schedule. All footnotes are in the nature of "Particulars of Claim," for convenience of reference. This Action is a proceeding against the Crown pursuant to section 48(1) of the Federal Court Act ("FCA") and section 3(b) of the Crown Liability and Proceedings Act. R.S.C., 1985, c. C-50. <sup>2</sup> S.C. 1992 c.20 and as am., a.k.a. Corrections and Conditional Release Act (the "CCRA"): s.3(a).

his computer system to him, but nothing by the Agreement permitted the Defendant to permanently withhold the Plaintiff's computer system instead of returning it to his possession.

- **4.** Of late, the Defendant by her CSC staff at Bath Institution ("BI"), Canada, a low medium security institution, seized the Plaintiff's computer system and in breach of the Agreement, then withheld it from the plaintiff instead of returning it to his possession.
- 5. In seizing the plaintiff's computer system and, in breach of agreement, then withholding if from the Plaintiff instead of returning it to possession, the Defendant acted on a CSC agenda calculated to deprive inmate computer owners of their computer system and to otherwise act in violation of the *CCRA*, Part I, ss.3, 4(d), 5(b), 58, 65(1), and 76 to the end of denying inmates generally contrary to the purpose of "the federal correctional system" of a computer ownership program.

### **Relief Sought**

The Plaintiff therefore claims, with s.24(1) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms<sup>4</sup> in aid:

- 1. A declaratory order as to the plaintiff's right to continued ownership of his computer system in accordance with the express teems of the Agreement.
- 2. A declaratory order as to the plaintiff's right to maintain his computer system in good repair, and to upgrades as necessary, in accordance with Part I, ss. 3, 4(d), 5(b) and 76 of the *CCRA*, and with the Agreement as expressed or implied to his benefit, with s. 12 pursuant to ss. 3, 10 and 11 ("shall...imperative") of the

CCRA s.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> i.e., Part I of Schedule B to 31 Eliz. 2 c.11 [Canada Act 1982(UK)].

Interpretation Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. I-21 and as am., as applied to ss. 5(b) and 76 of the CCRA.

- 3. A structure injunction, or an Order in the nature, in terms as the Court considers appropriate and just to the end of, "the better administration," of the CCRA, Part I, to the Plaintiff's benefit and protection in reference to the Agreement at common law pursuant to s. 4(d) of the CCRA against any CSC agenda calculated to deprive the Plaintiff of his computer system, including its good repair and upgrades as necessary.
- **4.** His expenses in bringing this action.
- 5. Such further and other relief as the plaintiff may reasonably claim.

**DATED** at Bath Institution, in the province of Ontario, Canada, this Canada Day Monday the 20<sup>th</sup> day of March 2024.

A.∕Íohn Bellosillo

**Plaintiff** 

In person per Rule 122 Self-represented Litigant. Bath Institution, Canada

Mailing: P.O. Box1500

5775 Bath Rd. Bath, Ontario

K0H 1G0

(613) 351-8346 Tel: Fax (613) 351-8039

HIS MAJESTY THE KING TO: Defendant

> Rule 133, Per:

Federal Court Rules

## Statement of Claim: Page 4

### DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ONTARIO REGIONAL OFFICE THE EXCHANGE TOWER 130 KING ST. WEST SUITE 340, BOX 36 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5X 1K6

TEL.; (416) 952-6331 FAX; (416) 973-5004

I HEREBY C	ERTIFY that the above docume ssued out of a filed in the Court	ent is a true copy of on the
day of	APR 0 8 2024	A.D. 20
•	APK U & LUL4	20
Dated this_	day of	A Section of the Party of the P
	VINESS	A GFORCE
	TON YOU	CAS " "
	ACENI	