



Federal Court of Appeal

Joseph Pilarski

Appellant

and

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

Notice of Appeal

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL COUR D'APPEL FÉDÉRALE	
FILED	04-May-2021
	Jena Russell
TORONTO, ON	

TO THE RESPONDENT:

A LEGAL PROCEEDING HAS BEEN COMMENCED AGAINST YOU by the appellant. The relief claimed by the appellant appears below.

THIS APPEAL will be heard by the Court at a time and place to be fixed by the Judicial Administrator. Unless the Court directs otherwise, the place of hearing will be as requested by the appellant. The appellant requests that this appeal be heard at Toronto, Ontario

IF YOU WISH TO OPPOSE THIS APPEAL, to receive notice of any step in the appeal or to be served with any documents in the appeal, you or a solicitor acting for you must prepare a notice of appearance in Form 341A prescribed by the [Federal Courts Rules](#) and serve it on the appellant's solicitor or, if the appellant is self-represented, on the appellant, WITHIN 10 DAYS after being served with this notice of appeal.

IF YOU INTEND TO SEEK A DIFFERENT DISPOSITION of the order appealed from, you must serve and file a notice of cross-appeal in Form 341B prescribed by the [Federal Courts Rules](#) instead of serving and filing a notice of appearance.

Copies of the [Federal Courts Rules](#), information concerning the local offices of the Court and other necessary information may be obtained on request to the Administrator of this Court at Ottawa (telephone 613-992-4238) or at any local office.

IF YOU FAIL TO OPPOSE THIS APPEAL, JUDGMENT MAY BE GIVEN IN YOUR ABSENCE AND WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU.

May 4.2022

Jena Russell

Issued by: *(Registry Officer)*

180 Queen Street West , Suite 200

Toronto, On M5V 1Z4

TO Carrie Calabrese
Dpt. of Justice 120 adelaide st
Toronto, ON

Appeal

THE APPELLANT APPEALS to the Federal Court of Appeal (*or Federal Court*) from the order of The Honourable Justice Randall S. Boccock Docket 2015-355(IT)G order dated 28 April 2022

THE APPELLANT ASKS that The order be amended so that the appellant will have an in court oral hearing as originally scheduled and adjourned due to illness.

THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL are as follows: The adjourned hearing was oral, in person - as originally ordered by the court. I just don't understand how a simple request for adjournment due to a disabling, immobilizing illness, could alter the nature of the hearing. This is illogical and seems to me to be unfair and unreasonable and a denial of natural justice. Without an in court oral hearing, I can't mount an effective defence to the action before the court.

May 4th 2022

Joseph Pilarski
815 Churchill Ln, Willow Beach
Georgina, On L0E 1S0
416-885-0843

[SOR/2021-151, s. 24](#)